



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No.

**KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP**  
**2040 MAIN STREET**  
**FOURTEENTH FLOOR**  
**IRVINE CA 92614**

**COPY MAILED**

**FEB 13 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Vanhoof et al.	:	
Application No. 09/696,836	:	ON PETITION
Filed: October 25, 2000	:	
Attorney Docket No. IMEC32.004C1	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3), filed October 26, 2005, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 for the benefit of the prior-filed nonprovisional and provisional applications set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000.

Along with the instant petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3), petitioner has submitted an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title to include a reference to the prior-filed applications.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on October 25, 2000, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. While a reference to the prior-filed application was not included in an ADS or in the first sentence of the specification following the title, reference nevertheless was made in the transmittal letter filed with the above-identified application.

The current procedure where a claim for priority under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) is not included in the first sentence of the specification or in an ADS but does appear either in the oath or declaration or a transmittal letter filed with the application and the Office notes the claim for priority, no petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority. This is because the application would have been scheduled for publication on the basis of the information concerning the claim submitted elsewhere in the application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii). However, on the other hand, if the USPTO does not note the claim for priority to the prior-filed application(s) set forth in the oath or declaration or transmittal letter submitted with the application, a petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3).<sup>1</sup> In the instant case, the Office noted the claim for priority of the prior-

---

<sup>1</sup> Note MPEP 201.11 (V), page 200-75 (Rev. 1. Feb. 2004 and 66 Federal Register 67087 at 67089 (Dec. 28, 2001), effective December 28, 2001.

filed applications in the transmittal letter filed with the application, as shown by their inclusion on the filing receipt.

In view of the above, the \$1370.00 petition fee is unnecessary and will not be charged to petitioner's deposit account.

While reviewing of the original Declaration submitted with the instant application, it appears there was a claim for the benefit of Provisional Application No. 60/006,012, which was never noted by the Office. If petitioner wishes to claim the benefit of the above-noted provisional application, a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) should be submitted.

Any questions concerning this decision on petition may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3206. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Examiner of Technology Center AU 2143 for appropriate action on the amendment filed October 26, 2005, including consideration of the claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) for benefit of the prior-filed application.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Liana Chase", is written over the printed name.

Liana Chase  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions